

From: noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au
To: [DPE_CSE_Pyrmont_Peninsula_Mailbox](#)
Cc: [DPE_PS_ePlanning_Exhibitions_Mailbox](#)
Subject: Webform submission from: Pyrmont Peninsula sub-precinct master plans
Date: Sunday, 19 December 2021 5:15:46 AM

Submitted on Sun, 19/12/2021 - 05:15

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

Name

First name

Susan

Last name

Durman

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

Info

Email

[REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode

2009

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

Submission

I do not know how many times the NSW Planning Department is going to request the views of the residents of Pyrmont regarding the future of the suburb. I have lost count of the number of submissions regarding the future of Pyrmont I have made. Is the Planning Department hoping to simply wear us out and hope that we will no longer care what happens to Pyrmont? Does the Planning Department hope that eventually we will just agree to whatever they want to do? I object most strongly to any of the proposals put forward by the Planning Department regarding the future of Pyrmont because they are driven by inappropriate development of the area and not by quality of life for the current residents or future residents. Pyrmont is a dense residential area with residents ranging from young children to the elderly. We need to have our congested streets and intersections fixed. We need more green space. This is just the beginning of what is needed. We do not need more residents or residential complexes. Pyrmont cannot cope now with its population, add more people to the mix and it will become, like so much of Sydney, unpleasant to live in. The current NSW Government is incapable of understanding what its populace wants or needs. It is only interested in money, money and more money. When the Government starts paying attention to what its communities want then it will be time to pay attention to their constant inconsiderate planning proposals.

I agree to the above statement

Yes