From: noreply@feedback.planningportal nsw.gov au
To: DPE CSE Pyrmont Peninsula Mailbox
Cc: DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox

Subject: Webform submission from: Pyrmont Peninsula sub-precinct master plans

Date: Tuesday, 25 January 2022 5:31:48 PM

Submitted on Tue, 25/01/2022 - 17:31

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

Name

First name

Peter

Last name

White

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

Info

Emai

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Pyrmont

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

Submission

To Whom It May Concern

I have reviewed the Pyrmont Peninsula Place Strategy Vol 3 Sub Precinct Master Planning & see merit in much of the strategy, however I strongly object to a portion of the strategy that is highlighted on pages 62 & 63 & numbered (7) on the overhead plan diagram, namely an "integrated pier connection between the Pyrmont Bay Wharf fingers".

I am a long term lessee of a marina berth & if his proposed pedestrian footbridge over the water was to be installed it would cut in half the existing marina & prevent access to all the marina berths south of the footbridge & located on marina occupants.

This proposal would extinguish my rights under the current lease of the marina berth & prevent access by water to the berth. My vessel is a a name of the marina proposal would thereby prevent passage past the footbridge to some 26 permanent berths that have existed for many years. No footbridge could be built tall enough to allow passage of yachts underneath.

I do not understand the need & significant expense required to build a pedestrian footbridge over the water for the unnecessary passage across the water, when not more than perhaps 100-150m to the south towards Pyrmont Bay Park, the boardwalk/foreshore walk currently provides access east to west & visa versa and would still provide that same east/west access in the master plan as highlighted on P.53, 59 & 63.

The proposed footbridge would be a total waste of scarce economic government & tax payers funds & resources & I strongly object to its proposed installation.

Yours Faithfully

Peter White

I agree to the above statement

Yes