

From: noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au
To: [DPE_CSE_Pyrmont_Peninsula_Mailbox](#)
Cc: [DPE_PS_ePlanning_Exhibitions_Mailbox](#)
Subject: Webform submission from: Pyrmont Peninsula sub-precinct master plans
Date: Saturday, 29 January 2022 3:22:14 PM

Submitted on Sat, 29/01/2022 - 15:22

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

Yes

Info

Email

██

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Pyrmont 2009

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

Submission

I would like to begin by saying I am supportive of the overall Pyrmont Peninsula Master Plan with the exception of the draft /Darling Island sub-precinct masterplan. My primary objection centres on the fact that this sub-precinct is referred to as a mixed use recreational, cultural and entertainment destination, with no acknowledgment of the many residential apartments on Darling Island and Sydney Wharf. As such there is no provision made for the protection of residential amenities and the interests of residents living on Darling Island and Sydney Wharf. For example, there is no detail on what a 24/7 entertainment and culture driven landscape means in practice and what impact - particularly from a noise perspective - this would have on residents on Darling Island and Sydney Wharf. The proposed 'pier connection' linking Sydney Wharf to Darling Island also seems unnecessary given the cost/benefit equation and the fact that it would halve the size of the Sydney Wharf Marina, which is a much liked and well used marina. No provision seems to have been made for those with existing moorings (for disclosure I do not have a boat there). It also destroys the symmetry with the other finger wharves, none of which have such a 'pier connection'. From a practical standpoint it would also prevent the Pyrmont Bay Pier from being used to pick up passengers for the many cruise boats that use it. In summary, I'd be grateful if you'd acknowledge that there is a legitimate need to recognise and take into account the interests and concerns of residents on Darling Island and Sydney Wharf and provide further detail on what a 24/7 entertainment and culture driven landscape means in practice and how the interests of the residents of Darling Island and Sydney Wharf will be protected. We all want to see a thriving and vibrant Pyrmont, but not at the expense of its residents. Thank you for the opportunity to make a submission.

I agree to the above statement

Yes