From:	noreply@feedback.planningportal nsw.gov au
To:	DPE CSE Pyrmont Peninsula Mailbox
Cc:	DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox
Subject:	Webform submission from: Pyrmont Peninsula sub-precinct master plans
Date:	Sunday, 30 January 2022 6:30:37 PM

Submitted on Sun, 30/01/2022 - 18:30

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

Name

First	name



I would like my submission to remain confidential Yes

Info

Email

Suburb/Town & Postcode 2009

Please provide your view on the project am just providing comments

i ani jaot protianig commo

Submission

To whom it may concern,

I am a long term Pyrmont resident and I live in what has been classified by he Department of Planning's draft master plans for the Pyrmont Peninsula as the Darling Island sub-precinct.

Pyrmont (and he Darling Island sub-precinct) has been home to me and my family for over a decade and hence I was very disappointed to read that there has been no mention whatsoever of residential use in he future plans for this sub-precinct! There is only reference to cultural and entertainment destination which I find very inconsiderate. Darling Island has established residential communities which for as long as I remember have put up with party boats, drunkeness, anti-social behaviour and even crime at our doorstep. For the Department of Planning to want to bring more of this without any desire to even consider residential communities is quite simply insul ing.

There are great things coming from the development of Pyrmont and we look forward to 6 star establishments such as the Ritz Carlton, as planned by the Star, to take presence there and allow locals and visitors to enjoy the beauty of our peninsula. However, I absolutely object to 24/7 entertainment being proposed for Pyrmont Bay Park - taking away from the park in he part of he City which is already deprived of he green space is nonsensical. There is only going to be more residents, worke and visitors in Darling Island sub-precinct as a result of proposed developments and workspaces such as Google expanding. It is essen ial to remember that Pyrmont is not Darling Harbour - Pyrmont has originated as a residential area which progressed to embrace culture and entertainment while Darling Harbour has been construed as entertainment destination in the first place. While adjacent to each other, The Department of Planning should not confuse these two areas and consider as one. What is more, there are established event spaces in Darling Harbour and also the Pirrama Park in Pyrmont has been successfully used for events in the past. It is much larger and has suitable public amenities and this is the park which should be earmarked for the event space hat he Department has a vision for.. Why would you want to convert the little desperately needed piece of greenery which is Pyrmont Bay Park as well as a nearby resident who would be affected by noise and antisocial behaviour undoubtedly resul ing from 24/7 entertainment.

There needs to be a balance between residential community needs and further development to benefit tourism and entertainment. I am not objecting the latter however he residential community cannot be forgotten in this process. Failure to acknowledge this and take it in to account in drafting plans has been the major flaw in the draft master plan for the Pyrmont Peninsula as he Darling Island sub-precinct and it needs to be addressed as a priority.

Yours Sincerely

I agree to the above statement Yes