

From: noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au
To: [DPE_CSE_Pyrmont_Peninsula_Mailbox](#)
Cc: [DPE_PS_ePlanning_Exhibitions_Mailbox](#)
Subject: Webform submission from: Pyrmont Peninsula sub-precinct master plans
Date: Monday, 31 January 2022 3:07:12 PM

Submitted on Mon, 31/01/2022 - 15:06

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

Name

First name

Ronald John

Last name

Cattell

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

Info

Email

[REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Pyrmont 2009

Please provide your view on the project

I am just providing comments

Submission

I approve of the general ideas of the Pyrmont Masterplan. My strong objections are to do with the definition, and the content, of the plan for the Darling Island Sub-precinct. My objections are as follows ...

1. The definition in the plan of the Darling Island sub-precinct gives absolutely no recognition to the substantial amount of Residential inhabitants in this area. Whilst we are generally happy to be adjacent to a vibrant city, any future plan absolutely must take into account that there are substantial numbers of residents living within this sub-precinct
2. I have no objection to very tall buildings within our city-adjacent environment. The expansion, however, of tall buildings means an even greater need for green spaces to be maintained at ground level. The Darling Island sub-precinct plan specifically identifies Pyrmont Bay Park to be turned onto a 24/7 entertainment precinct adjacent to The Star. There is already a great deal of noise, nuisance and antisocial behaviour experienced by nearby residents at night-time caused by patrons of the Star. To take away the beautiful green park of Pyrmont Bay Park and make it into a 24/7 Entertainment Precinct (changed from a park green colour to an entertainment area orange colour on your plans) is not in any way acceptable.
3. The sketched plans show a new pedestrian bridge running from adjacent Sydney Wharf apartments to Metcalfe Park. This is unnecessary as there are already harbourside walkways around all of this area and the placing of such a bridge would cut off half of the leisure marina (Sydney Wharf Marina) as well as make Casino Wharf inaccessible from the water.

I agree to the above statement

Yes