noreply@feedback.planningportal nsw.gov au DPE CSE Pyrmont Peninsula Mailbox From:

To: DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox Cc:

Subject: Webform submission from: Pyrmont Peninsula sub-precinct master plans

Date: Monday, 31 January 2022 3:45:44 PM Attachments: pvrmont-precinct-plan---fm.pdf

Submitted on Mon, 31/01/2022 - 15:32

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

Name

First name



Last name

I would like my submission to remain confidential

Yes

Info

Email

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

Submission file

pyrmont-precinct-plan---fm.pdf

Submission

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on he proposed plans.

While I support much of the proposal, I object to elements of he Darling Island sub-precinct plan as it totally ignores he existence of a significant and vibrant residential population on Sydney Wharf and Darling Island in particular.

Development of this sub-precinct as a 24 hour entertainment based economy appears inconsistent with the Government initiatives of the last 15 years that have facilitated development of his new residential community.

As it stands, the plan introduces significant negative impacts to residents, and does not sufficiently articulate an argument in favor of the components to which I object, particularly a new bridge linking Sydney Wharf and Darling Island. My submission is attached.

I agree to the above statement

I refer to the Pyrmont Peninsular sub-precinct master plans currently on exhibit, and wish to express my objection to certain proposals for the Darling Island sub-precinct.

I am an owner of and elements of the proposals will have a significantly adverse impact on the amenity of the area.

I note the first statement in the relevant section 4.3 is:-

'Darling Island is a mixed use recreational, cultural and entertainment destination.'

This statement ignores the fact that there is a significant residential community housed in the sub-precinct, particularly on Sydney Wharf and Darling Island.

Accordingly the proposal evidences a total lack of consideration for residents in the precinct.

This lack of consideration is supported by page 60 of the plan 'Darling Island Character and experience', which makes no reference at all to the existence of a substantial residential community.

Particularly inappropriate is the objective to *create 'a destination for 24 hour events'* in the vicinity of Pyrmont Park, incorporating a *'water event space'*. The intention to create an 'entertainment and culture driven landscape' when this area is has a significant residential community appears ill-conceived.

Of particular concern is the proposal for Pyrmont Bay to 'Establish better connections to the Pyrmont Bay wharf fingers', potentially via a new bridge between Sydney Wharf and Darling Island, (as per 'Secondary Foreshore Route', 'Pyrmont Bay pier connection' and 'Establish better connections to the Pyrmont Bay Wharf Fingers').

This plan, seems to provide no discernible benefit as foreshore access is already plentiful.

The wharf promenades are already very popular routes for walkers, joggers, and cyclists, and are accessible directly from a number of

separate foreshore points, including Pyrmont Park, Metcalf Park and surrounding walkways and roads.

There does not appear to be any impediment to accessing the character of the wharfs that would support the building of a new structure to deface a historic waterway.

The proposal option for a new bridge structure between Darling Island and Sydney Wharf will however result in the following significant negative community impacts:-

- Reduced Marina capacity by approximately half, thereby reducing access to the harbour by the public. Berths of the size in this Marina are already a scarce resource on the harbour, and their removal appears contrary to the objective of making the area a more attractive and vibrant area, and providing improved access to the harbour.
- The affected Marina berths have been leased to members of the public, and removing the berths will have substantial negative economic impact to lease holders, unless compensated by the Government.
- Increased noise and foot traffic delivered to Sydney Wharf and Darling Island residential areas arising from a 24 hour entertainment precinct, especially the water event space.
- Metcalf Park is an open separation zone between the commercial and residential component of Darling Island, and is heavily used by the community. I note also the only off leash dog park in the subprecinct. Encouraging a '24 hour economy' is completely inappropriate for this recreational area.

I note the proposal includes the removal of Pyrmont/Casino Wharf and refers to the objective to 'Upgrade future wharf and ferry terminal to enable 24 hour foreshore access'.

I support the proposal to remove Pyrmont/Casino wharf and potentially reposition this activity to the under-utilised Pyrmont Bay wharf area adjacent to the Maritime Museum.

Pyrmont/Casino wharf is heavily utilised, with significant numbers of people congregating in the open space near Dalton House, either

waiting for, or returning from commercial 'party' boats. The area is frequently noisy, especially after a trip when many are intoxicated, and is particularly active late at night. (I note the Police have considered it necessary to regularly place a surveillance trailer in the area to monitor and control undesirable behaviour).

I support much of what is proposed in the Plan, however the Darling Island sub-precinct proposal does not appear to fully acknowledge some of the existing infrastructure in the area that provides harbour access and character.

Increased residential presence in the sub-precinct has been encouraged by the various levels of Government in recent years, and it has provided a valuable contribution to the renewal of the area. The character of the area should be developed in a way that recognises and respects this contribution.

Kind Regards