

**From:** [noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au](mailto:noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au)  
**To:** [DPE\\_CSE\\_Pyrmont\\_Peninsula\\_Mailbox](#)  
**Cc:** [DPE\\_PS\\_ePlanning\\_Exhibitions\\_Mailbox](#)  
**Subject:** Webform submission from: Pyrmont Peninsula sub-precinct master plans  
**Date:** Thursday, 3 February 2022 5:57:41 PM

---

Submitted on Thu, 03/02/2022 - 17:57

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

█

**Last name**

█

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

█

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Pyrmont

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I have lived in Pyrmont for over 20 years and I write to object to the proposed Pyrmont Peninsula Place Strategy. Pyrmont is quite unique in that it is close to the CBD but holds its own as a vibrant village which endears itself to the whole community and embraces its remarkable colonial history.

Pyrmont is not and should not be seen as the next CBD. The proposed plan will destroy the wonderful atmosphere that residents and visitors love about Pyrmont.

High-rise should not block existing buildings .... it's just plain rude! High rise will block daylight in the streets, cause shadowing and wind tunnels. The Star towers – definitely not needed and will cause a lot of angst to people who will be overshadowed by these monstrous builds.

The Maybank Centre was gifted to, and accepted by, the CoS in 1970 to be used "in perpetuity for recreational purposes" from CSR. The PPPS proposal is to replace the already incredibly inadequate "sports facility" (I use this phrase loosely) with terraced gardens, pathways and the installation of art works. Rather than reducing the only outdoor exercise area it should be extended to cater for the growing population.

Additionally, the list of "Capable of Change" sites is extremely worrying. What will happen to people living in public housing, affordable housing and individually owned houses if this plan goes ahead?

Thanks for reading my concerns.

█

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes