

**From:** [noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au](mailto:noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au) on behalf of [Planning Portal - Department of Planning and Environment](#)  
**To:** [DPE\\_CSE Pyrmont Peninsula Mailbox](#)  
**Cc:** [DPE\\_PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox](#)  
**Subject:** Webform submission from: Pyrmont Peninsula sub-precinct master plans  
**Date:** Thursday, 9 December 2021 7:21:21 PM

---

Submitted on Thu, 09/12/2021 - 19:21

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

Daniel

**Last name**

chalak

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Sydney/pyrmont & 2009

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Hi,

So we live and bought into a unit block which is height restricted to build up beyond our current level at [REDACTED]. We love living here and believe some of the plans are great. However 2

1 of the sub precincts directly damage our property value and the other is going to cause real issues for other residents.

- Directly impact; Star City 6 star hotel: our property relies on the [REDACTED] to gain morning light and a view of the city. By putting the tower at that site and height we lose direct morning light and so does our whole building. We also lose sight lines and this will devalue our property. How can we be protected against this? Or is there a plan to conduct further studies. We are a young couple who work hard to pay our mortgage, taxes and rates, we don't mind development but this one is going to directly hurt us.

- Indirect impact; Blackwater Bay (fish markets):

The building of high rise towers will cause significant site line issues for residents as far as Camperdown [REDACTED] believe strongly that low to medium density units would be a solution. Easier to build and maintain, with more community support. We also believe creating value for buyers which is sustainable can only be done so with something that has limited supply, with material costs also being a problem. The high rise options could run the risk of being unsafe, expensive and a real liability for his government and its legacy.

We believe this an opportunity to show what good planning and community engagement can do for future generations. I also want to be contacted around My points so I can discuss.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes