



**From:** noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au on behalf of Planning Portal - Department of Planning and Environment <noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au>  
**Sent:** Thursday, 19 November 2020 11:29 AM  
**To:** PPO Engagement  
**Cc:** eplanning.exhibitions@planning.nsw.gov.au  
**Subject:** Webform submission from: Western Sydney Aerotropolis Draft Precinct Plans

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

Submitted on Thu, 19/11/2020 - 11:29

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

Gary

**Last name**

Rhodes

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**



**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2570

**Submission**

Request for clarification in respect of [redacted] Lawson Road [redacted] Badgerys Creek

In February 2020 Rhodes Planning lodged a submission on behalf of the owners of the land (Submission 371).

In essence the submission suggested that the alignment of the of Pitt Street in its proposed upgraded form should adopt the existing Pitt Street road reservation to the west of Lawson Road.

A comparison of the exhibited Proposed Transport Corridors Map and the final Proposed Transport Corridors Map would suggest that my suggestion has been adopted although this assumption is within the context of map scale and detail.

Prior to formulating any submission to the now exhibited precinct plan could you advise:

- Is the alignment of the proposed upgraded Pitt Street to adopt the centreline of the existing Pitt Street, as is, west of Lawson Road?
- Is the upgraded Pitt Street to have a reservation width of 40m?
- Is the required road widening to be applied equally to either side of the existing reservation? It is noted that the existing reservation width is 15m creating a road widening requirement of 12.5m either side.
- If the proposed reservation width is in fact 40m then this is some 18m(?) wider than a local industrial road. Am I right in assuming that the additional land take will be the subject of compensation and if so in what manner?

Your advice in regard to the above will enable an informed response to the exhibited material

Gary Rhodes





**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

**Disclaimer**

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by **Mimecast Ltd**, on behalf of **Liverpool City Council**.