

[REDACTED]

From: noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au on behalf of Planning Portal - Department of Planning and Environment <noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au>
Sent: Tuesday, 23 February 2021 12:09 PM
To: PPO Engagement
Cc: eplanning.exhibitions@planning.nsw.gov.au
Subject: Webform submission from: Western Sydney Aerotropolis Draft Precinct Plans
Attachments: john-domenica-sindoni-submission---property-[REDACTED]-kelvin-park-drive,-bringelly-updated-23rd-feb-21.pdf

Submitted on Tue, 23/02/2021 - 12:06

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

Name

First name

John and Domenica

Last name

Sindoni

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

Info

Email

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Bringelly, NSW, 2556

Submission file

[john-domenica-sindoni-submission---property-\[REDACTED\]-kelvin-park-drive,-bringelly-updated-23rd-feb-21.pdf](#)

Submission

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

Re: John & Domenica Sindoni - Submission

Pty: [REDACTED] Kelvin Park Drive, Bringelly 23rd November, 2020

Our property at [REDACTED] Kelvin Park Drive, Bringelly is located in the Aerotropolis Core.

I'm writing this submission on behalf of myself and my husband in regards to "Park and Greenspace" placed on our property in the draft Aerotropolis Precinct Plan.

My husband and I are not happy with Park and Greenspace that has been placed on our property as this will significantly devalue our property. Approximately three quarters of our property will be taken up for Park and Greenspace. We see this as unfair as our property is nowhere near a creek and definitely not in a flood area.

Looking at the Aerotropolis Precinct Plan for "Kelvin Park Drive" we can see where Parks and Greenspace will be better suited that will not have a devastating impact on land owners whose properties are nowhere near a creek or flood prone, that is, land near the creeks (Thompsons Creek & Wianamatta South Creek) where properties have been "Compulsory Acquired" by Government.

We are requesting for the park to be removed from our property as we see this as significantly devaluing of our property and also request the greenspace be removed. We feel that Parks and Greenspace would be better suited elsewhere in the Precinct Plan i.e. near the creeks.

My husband and I purchased this property at ■ Kelvin Park Drive, Bringelly in 1987 (5 acres of vacant land) and were owner builders completing our house in stages until it was completed, which took about 2 years. We worked very very hard raising our three children, with my husband working two jobs and myself also working to provide for our family. I'm just mentioning this, because we purchased this property 33 years ago and did our research that this was a good block of land, high position, nowhere near a creek and not in a flood zone and one day would be a valuable piece of land. That's why we are devastated that park and greenspace has been placed on our property and feel that this is totally unfair and unjust.

I end my submission by requesting that you consider all of the above and please change the parks and greenspace to be better suited elsewhere and remove the park and greenspace from our property.

Kind Regards

Domenica Sindoni (John & Domenica Sindoni)

23rd February 2021 – further addition to above submission

Updating above submission to include comments on "Amalgamation Plan"

My husband and I are very concerned looking at Amalgamation Plan (page 153 in Draft Aerotropolis Precinct Plan November 2020) that it only includes our property and my neighbour's property in the "Amalgamation Parcel". This is very concerning because my neighbour's property is 100% Park and Greenspace and our property around three quarters Park and Greenspace. This is so unfair that we are not included in a larger Amalgamation Parcel with other land owners and are clearly very disadvantage with our property and my neighbour's property in a parcel containing two properties that have a majority of Park and Greenspace. We should be included in a bigger parcel which includes more land owners and this is what we are requesting.

My husband and I feel that there is too much Parks and Greenspace in the Aerotropolis Core Precinct Plan and this is voiced by a lot of land owners in the area.

My husband and I are requesting that we are placed in an "Amalgamation Parcel" that includes several land owners as we are clearly very disadvantaged in the Amalgamation Parcel that we are currently in. Also, as in our previous Submission dated 23rd November 2020, we are still requesting the removal of park and greenspace on our property.

Kind Regards,

Domenica Sindoni (John & Domenica Sindoni)

I agree to the above statement

Yes

Disclaimer

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by **Mimecast Ltd**, on behalf of **Liverpool City Council**.

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

Re: John & Domenica Sindoni - Submission

Pty: ■■■ Kelvin Park Drive, Bringelly

23rd November, 2020

Our property at ■■■ Kelvin Park Drive, Bringelly is located in the Aerotropolis Core.

I'm writing this submission on behalf of myself and my husband in regards to "Park and Greenspace" placed on our property in the draft Aerotropolis Precinct Plan.

My husband and I are not happy with Park and Greenspace that has been placed on our property as this will significantly devalue our property. Approximately three quarters of our property will be taken up for Park and Greenspace. We see this as unfair as our property is nowhere near a creek and definitely not in a flood area.

Looking at the Aerotropolis Precinct Plan for "Kelvin Park Drive" we can see where Parks and Greenspace will be better suited that will not have a devastating impact on land owners whose properties are nowhere near a creek or flood prone, that is, land near the creeks (Thompsons Creek & Wianamatta South Creek) where properties have been "Compulsory Acquired" by Government.

We are requesting for the park to be removed from our property as we see this as significantly devaluing of our property and also request the greenspace be removed. We feel that Parks and Greenspace would be better suited elsewhere in the Precinct Plan i.e. near the creeks.

My husband and I purchased this property at ■■■ Kelvin Park Drive, Bringelly in 1987 (5 acres of vacant land) and were owner builders completing our house in stages until it was completed, which took about 2 years. We worked very very hard raising our three children, with my husband working two jobs and myself also working to provide for our family. I'm just mentioning this, because we purchased this property 33 years ago and did our research that this was a good block of land, high position, nowhere near a creek and not in a flood zone and one day would be a valuable piece of land. That's why we are devastated that park and greenspace has been placed on our property and feel that this is totally unfair and unjust.

I end my submission by requesting that you consider all of the above and please change the parks and greenspace to be better suited elsewhere and remove the park and greenspace from our property.

Kind Regards

Domenica Sindoni (John & Domenica Sindoni)

23rd February 2021 – further addition to above submission

Updating above submission to include comments on "Amalgamation Plan"

My husband and I are very concerned looking at Amalgamation Plan (page 153 in Draft Aerotropolis Precinct Plan November 2020) that it only includes our property and my neighbour's property in the "Amalgamation Parcel". This is very concerning because my neighbour's property is 100% Park and Greenspace and our property around three quarters Park and Greenspace. This is so unfair that we

are not included in a larger Amalgamation Parcel with other land owners and are clearly very disadvantage with our property and my neighbour's property in a parcel containing two properties that have a majority of Park and Greenspace. We should be included in a bigger parcel which includes more land owners and this is what we are requesting.

My husband and I feel that there is too much Parks and Greenspace in the Aerotropolis Core Precinct Plan and this is voiced by a lot of land owners in the area.

My husband and I are requesting that we are placed in an "Amalgamation Parcel" that includes several land owners as we are clearly very disadvantaged in the Amalgamation Parcel that we are currently in. Also, as in our previous Submission dated 23rd November 2020, we are still requesting the removal of park and greenspace on our property.

Kind Regards,

Domenica Sindoni (John & Domenica Sindoni)