From: noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au on behalf of Planning Portal - Department of

Planning and Environment <noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au>

Sent: Thursday, 4 March 2021 2:08 PM

**To:** PPO Engagement

**Cc:** eplanning.exhibitions@planning.nsw.gov.au

**Subject:** Webform submission from: Western Sydney Aerotropolis Draft Precinct Plans

Submitted on Thu, 04/03/2021 - 14:07

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

# Name

### First name

phil

#### Last name

wood

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

# Info

### **Email**

Suburb/Town & Postcode BRINGELLY

## Submission

Department of planning

My name is Phil Wood and I live in Bringelly where we have a beautiful rural lifestyle.

Taking (not acquiring) our 1in100 land backing onto South Creek is just land theft, a simple solution, if you need greenspace, buy it, if you can't buy it, scale it back to the 1in20 flood lands and then buy it. You have now landlocked us and our futures are unknown, as our neighbours can sell and move on, we are now stuck here until you decide to acquire our E&R land if ever, I have many small children which I was hoping to build them another dwelling which last year under Councils rules we could build in the 1in100 but because of your zonings, we cannot do anything, how can you stop our lives and our dreams for our families, it's hard enough for children to save for properties today and now we are not in the position to help them because of this ruling.

Why has NSW planning not been forthcoming with the full details with the definition of Environmental/Recreational? Trying to stagnate so many properties with restrictive E-zonings on the basis of an environmental report that is not finished or available as no one has seen it or a flood plain that no one knows about and not knowing when was this done? Could this be a sophisticated plan to obtain value capture to pay for all the infrastructure needed for the airport? Get us out as cheaply as possible, so then can come back and either rezone for the developers so they will have interrupted views from multi storey apartments and commercial buildings, reaping in more in prime locations minutes from a 24 hour airport! All whilst us landowners are disadvantaged.

Families in the Kelvin Park area have been here many years knowing that some of their back yards was 1in100 flood but we have never been flooded and our creeks have laid dry for years. We were permitted build our homes and 2nd homes but due to rezoning, have no more rights, how is this fair? We worked hard paying our mortgages and to later sell for our retirements and

pensions, I feel this seems to be a ploy to discredit a huge volume of land to obtain it as cheaply at the land owners expense for the Government's needs.

If you need greenspace, put in corridors as you do for roads, rails, infrastructure and public spaces as our land is public space and acquire the green space at the Just Terms

Let us get on with our lives so we are not prisoners on our properties.

Phil Wood.

# I agree to the above statement

Yes

# **Disclaimer**

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by **Mimecast Ltd**, on behalf of **Liverpool City Council**.