

From: noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au on behalf of Planning Portal - Department of Planning and Environment <noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au>
Sent: Thursday, 11 March 2021 2:13 PM
To: PPO Engagement
Cc: eplanning.exhibitions@planning.nsw.gov.au
Subject: Webform submission from: Western Sydney Aerotropolis Draft Precinct Plans
Attachments: western-sydney-aerotropolis-draft-precinct-plans-submission-due-12-march-2021.docx

Submitted on Thu, 11/03/2021 - 14:09

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

Name

First name

Don

Last name

Morison

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

Info

Email

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Katoomba 2780

Submission file

[western-sydney-aerotropolis-draft-precinct-plans-submission-due-12-march-2021.docx](#)

Submission

Western Sydney Aerotropolis Draft Precinct Plans - Submission

I strongly oppose large residential precincts in the immediate vicinity of the Western Sydney airport now under construction. Only the most essential personnel should be encouraged to live near the airport site.

The marketing of the airport in documents that show open space with trees is in conflict with documents published in April 2020 that underline the threat from native birds, native mammals and other species if habitat for those species is not disrupted before air traffic commences.

The possibility of having a significant percentage of Greater Sydney's population live indoors with air conditioning during the majority of the year should be avoided for multiple reasons. This is because some climatic warming can be expected to continue and this will be worsened by the "heat island effect" of so many paved areas in an already basin-shaped subregion of the Sydney basin.

The potential problems would be exacerbated by the fact that the "Western Sydney Parkland City" concept is not materialising as anticipated. There is not enough usable open space in the Blacktown, Penrith, Liverpool, Wollondilly and Blue Mountains local

government areas (in the area where population is expected to grow) to cope even without large residential precincts close to the new airport.

Much of what open space does exist is in poor condition and being permitted to deteriorate further.

In the 1960s and 1970s, the NSW Government created homogenous housing stock growth over wide areas of southern and western Sydney. These growth areas became known as "Mount Druitt" and "Green Valley", although the main growth areas were much larger and outside the current suburb boundaries that bear those names. With great respect to the people who have made successes of living in those housing stock growth areas, the general socio-economic results from these housing stock areas remain discouraging.

In summary, the State and Federal Governments are risking creating new large and unhealthy slums in areas with already inadequate resources if the residential precincts proceed as described in the documents.

Don Morison, BA(Sydney),M.Eng.Sc.(UNSW),Dip.Ed.(UNE)

[REDACTED]
Katoomba 2780

I agree to the above statement

Yes

Disclaimer

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by Mimecast Ltd, on behalf of Liverpool City Council.

Western Sydney Aerotropolis Draft Precinct Plans - Submission

I strongly oppose large residential precincts in the immediate vicinity of the Western Sydney airport now under construction. Only the most essential personnel should be encouraged to live near the airport site.

The marketing of the airport in documents that show open space with trees is in conflict with documents published in April 2020 that underline the threat from native birds, native mammals and other species if habitat for those species is not disrupted before air traffic commences.

The possibility of having a significant percentage of Greater Sydney's population live indoors with air conditioning during the majority of the year should be avoided for multiple reasons. This is because some climatic warming can be expected to continue and this will be worsened by the "heat island effect" of so many paved areas in an already basin-shaped subregion of the Sydney basin.

The potential problems would be exacerbated by the fact that the "Western Sydney Parkland City" concept is not materialising as anticipated. There is not enough usable open space in the Blacktown, Penrith, Liverpool, Wollondilly and Blue Mountains local government areas (in the area where population is expected to grow) to cope even without large residential precincts close to the new airport.

Much of what open space does exist is in poor condition and being permitted to deteriorate further.

In the 1960s and 1970s, the NSW Government created homogenous housing stock growth over wide areas of southern and western Sydney. These growth areas became known as "Mount Druitt" and "Green Valley", although the main growth areas were much larger and outside the current suburb boundaries that bear those names. With great respect to the people who have made successes of living in those housing stock growth areas, the general socio-economic results from these housing stock areas remain discouraging.

In summary, the State and Federal Governments are risking creating new large and unhealthy slums in areas with already inadequate resources if the residential precincts proceed as described in the documents.

Don Morison, BA(Sydney),M.Eng.Sc.(UNSW),Dip.Ed.(UNE)

[REDACTED]
PO Box 170, Katoomba 2780