

Re: Western Sydney Aerotropolis Draft Precinct Plans

I am the owner of ■ Kelvin Park Dr Bringelly and wish to express my dissatisfaction with the current WSAP precinct plans. My concerns are:

○ As it stands 1/3 of my property is zoned as 'environmental' and 2/3's 'mixed use'. It does not make sense why my land zoned as mixed use, and yet is proposed to be an 'open space' and will be part of a 'riparian/linear parkland.'. It is perfectly good land for residential development as my neighbors on either side have houses planned on their property. Why is my property any different? This will severely impact the value of my property and I will not stand by and let you do this. Remove the linear parkland and allow my land to remain as is.

○ The 'realignment' of the road has the proposed road going straight through my house. Why can you not keep the same road as is, or make it go behind my house such that I can keep my house. You are destroying my home by poor planning. I implore you to keep the current road

○ As it stands we are set to be acquired in the future but in my meeting with the DPP I was told that only the area of my property that will be acquired is the 'mixed use' zone – so what will happen to the remaining area of my property that is zoned 'environmental'? this is unfair as my land would be 'land locked' and you are infringing on my human rights.

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights ("UDHR") provides:

Article 17

1) Everyone has the right to own property alone as well as in association with others.

2) No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his property."

The injurious affection caused by imposition of recreation and environmental zoning, which impairs landowners' ability to use their land held under freehold title (or indeed leasehold title) is a deprivation of property rights in breach of Art. 17 (2).

○ Current exhibited precinct plans are too inconsistent, and in its current form will clearly benefit major developers and cripple land owners like myself with enormous areas of green space unnecessarily. Why can't you use the 'green spine' for all your parks? Why do you have to use land that is perfect for development?

○ There doesn't seem to be any acceptable plan or strategy on how the excessive green space within the initial precinct land release will be transferred from Private Ownership to Public purpose and within what time frame.

In Summary

I understand that their needs be an airport, I am all for that. My issues are that the plan is to have :

1. A road going straight through the main house
2. my property turned into a linear park on land zoned for mixed use
3. the back half being zoned environmental and worthless (land locked)

What I ask of the department is:

1. my 'mixed use' land to remain as is. No open space! It is perfectly good land
2. only use the environmental zone for parkland and open space
3. do not re-align the road- if you do then align it along the environmental zone land behind my house
4. if I am to be acquired then my whole property must be acquired not just the land zoned as 'mixed use'.

If the plan stays as is and the above points are not met then we will be taking the matter to the Land and Environment Court of New South Wales.

This whole process has caused me and my family much anxiety. We ask that the department look at how individual land owners will be effected and make sure they are treated fairly and compensated fairly.

Regards,

Zahid Rana