

**From:** noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au on behalf of Planning Portal - Department of Planning and Environment <noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au>  
**Sent:** Friday, 12 March 2021 6:03 PM  
**To:** PPO Engagement  
**Cc:** eplanning.exhibitions@planning.nsw.gov.au  
**Subject:** Webform submission from: Western Sydney Aerotropolis Draft Precinct Plans

Submitted on Fri, 12/03/2021 - 18:02

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

Yvonne

**Last name**

Harris

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Luddenham 2745

**Submission**

My husband and myself have been residents of Luddenham for over 40 years and now find ourselves living in limbo.

The village of Luddenham has long been a blip on the planning for Western Sydney

Airport. Agribusiness has been labelled for the village and the surrounding land.

1. A vision has been displayed for the area with no thought as to what it means for landholders and basically has rendered some land useless to the owners with no compensation. This needs to be rectified.
2. Luddenham is the closest residential area and retail area to the airport and as such deserves an indepth analysis for the benefit of residents and landholders and not just an airy fairy, pie in the sky vision.
3. The lack of detail in the current proposal has given rise to much uncertainty and heartache for residents, many of whom have called Luddenham home for many many years.
4. Residential. Mixed with commercial/retail is needed to sustain Luddenham as an integral part of the Agribusiness zone but to facilitate this planners must allow for such development within the immediate area such as a mixed use zoning and not agribusiness as it is hard to find anyone who can explain what that is or how it would work for the village.
5. Is the aim of the agribusiness zone to drive residents away and make it hard for people to sell or move on or develop. Do the planners just hope that we will fade away and their job will become easier.
6. It is stated that existing residential use can remain but then that begs the question, soundproofing must be supplied for existing homes and businesses and new housing development allowed outside the 20 anef.
7. Zoning should not be affecting land rate values and putting landholders in financial difficulty because of a whim that the NSW and Federal government have.
8. Much more thought needs to go into development consequences for Luddenham.
9. An impact statement on the consequences of this draft plan needs to be undertaken and all affected parties need to be compensated if they are being disadvantaged in any way.

There have already been more than generous compensation for a few landholders but for some reason not all landholders are treated equally and this begs the question WHY?

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

### **Disclaimer**

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by **Mimecast Ltd**, on behalf of **Liverpool City Council**.