

**From:** noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au on behalf of Planning Portal - Department of Planning and Environment <noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au>  
**Sent:** Friday, 12 March 2021 9:56 PM  
**To:** PPO Engagement  
**Cc:** eplanning.exhibitions@planning.nsw.gov.au  
**Subject:** Webform submission from: Western Sydney Aerotropolis Draft Precinct Plans

Submitted on Fri, 12/03/2021 - 21:55

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

DYLAN

**Last name**

WILSON

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

BRINGELLY

**Submission**

13th March 2021

As a resident of my Bringelly residence of 10 years I wish to express my dissatisfaction with the currently exhibited WSAP Precinct Plans due to the EXCESSIVE amount of environmental/recreational land that was taken on the back of SCP. Why was the medium and low flood lands not considered in the development of this vision as we all know that with mitigation we were able to build on that portion before the new zoning.

Why is the mixed use only portions of our land and why isn't the buildings taken up to the high 1in100 flood lands. This is very confusing to me and i still don't understand the reasoning.

To achieve its "vision" why has the Department of Planning & Planning Partnership not put safety at the forefront of designing an Aerotropolis surrounding a 24hr Airport. The issue of creating vast green tracts of largely unkept green corridors and increasing wetlands has put safety at risk by increasing environments for the existing abundance of bird life and wildlife to remain in the area to breed and does nothing to detract more bird life & wildlife to the area from surrounding areas like the Blue Mountains & Western Sydney Parklands. Why are these concerns not been considered? How is this fair or even responsible planning?

I hope to see the new plans totally different and people's land given back so they are not landlocked or prisoners on their properties...

Paula.

**I agree to the above statement**  
Yes

## **Disclaimer**

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by **Mimecast Ltd**, on behalf of **Liverpool City Council**.